This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

N

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/881,258	06/14/2001	Abir Mullick	554.RESP:103aUS_	6643	
24041 7	590 11/17/2003		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
SIMPSON & SIMPSON, PLLC 5555 MAIN STREET			HUYNH, KHOA D		
WILLIAMSVILLE, NY 14221-5406			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3751	17	
			DATE MAILED: 11/17/2003	19	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

```		5			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summers	09/881,258	MULLICK ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The BASH INC DATE of this account is ation and	Khoa D. Huynh	3751			
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply		•			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 No.	ovember 2003.				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-28 is/are withdrawr</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	n from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioriapplication from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestication since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestication reference was included in the first sentence of the	s have been received in Applicative documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1196 at sentence of the specification of the certification of the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 at 120	red in this National Stage red. (e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet. ceived. 0 and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachment(s)	A) [] Interview 0	v/PTO 413) Papar Na/a)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/881,258

Art Unit: 3751

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the use of two support brackets (94) and of two support rails (20, 24), does not reasonably provide enablement for the use of one support bracket (as recited in claim 2) and of one support rail (as recited in claim 3). The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. In other word, applicant fails to disclose how the movable sink could be moved vertically using one support bracket (claim 2 calls for at least one support bracket) and horizontally using one support rail (claim 3 calls for at least one support rail). Such lack of detailed supports in the instant disclosure is an indication that the scope of the claims is not commensurate with the scope of the enabling disclosure.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/881,258

Art Unit: 3751

4. Claims 1-4, as presently understood and given a broadest reasonable interpretations, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maurer et al. (3530513).

Regarding claim 1, the Maurer et al. reference discloses a movable wall mounted dental unit or sink fixture (about 4, 38 & 36 in Fig. 1). The fixture includes a bowl or sink (at 4), means for moving the sink vertically (at 36) with respect to the wall, and means for moving the sink fixture horizontally (about 22 & 23) with respect to the wall. The horizontal moving means is operatively arranged to maintain "a constant distance between the sink and the wall" {note: the sink (4) is capable of being held steady at a certain high or location, as schematically shown in Figure 1, while element 38 is in a sling motion (to the left or right)}. The Maurer et al. sink fixture also includes a "locking" feature which creates friction and keeps the fixture at the desired position (col. 3, lines 62-75; col. 4, lines 1-12).

Regarding claim 2, the means for moving the sink vertically further includes a support bracket (at 36) and a fixture base (at 38).

Regarding claim 3, the means for moving the sink horizontally further includes a pair of support rails (at 22 & 23) and a fixture base (at 38).

Regarding claim 4, the means for locking the sink at a desired location comprises a securing bolt assembly (col. 4, lines 1-12).

Application/Control Number: 09/881,258

Art Unit: 3751

#### Response to Amendment

5. Applicant's amendment to claim 1, filed on 11/03/2003, is not sufficient to overcome the prior art rejection as stated above.

#### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to the pending claims 1-4 have been fully considered but are deemed not persuasive.

The crux of applicant's arguments is that Maurer et al. does not teach or disclose "means for moving the sink fixture horizontally with respect to the wall and maintaining a constant distant between the sink and the wall". See Remarks section. The examiner respectfully disagrees.

The Maurer et al. reference teaches a movable sink fixture having "means for moving the sink fixture horizontally with respect to the wall." The means for moving the sink fixture horizontally includes a pair of support bars (22 & 23). The sink (4) is located on a fixture base (38) which carriers the sink fixture and moves in horizontally (sliding motion) directions on the pair of support bars (22 & 23). If so desired, the sink (4) is capable of being held steady at a certain high or location, as schematically shown in Figure 1, while the sink base (38) moves in a horizontally sling motion (to the left or right) to a .

Therefore, the Maurer et al. reference does implicitly teach a sink fixture having "the horizontal moving means operatively arranged to maintain a constant distance between the sink and the wall". Art Unit: 3751

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khoa D. Huynh whose telephone number is (703) 306-5483. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:00-4:30) Second Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Huson can be reached on (703) 308-2580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

HK 11/10/2003

> GREGORY L. HUSON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

